Assessment for treatment based on FRAX

Dr Eugene Mc Closkey Reader in Adult Bone Disease, University of Sheffield





The aim of the clinician in managing osteoporosis

- TO REDUCE THE INCIDENCE OF FRACTURES
- To identify patients at increased risk of fracture
- To be able to assess that risk accurately
- To give advice to aid understanding of the disease, the aims of therapy and the choice of therapy
- Treatment
 - Lifestyle advice
 - Therapeutic agents





Osteoporotic fracture and BMD





Siris. Surgeon General's Workshop on Osteoporosis and Bone Health, December 2002



Ten year probability of hip fracture in Sweden

Fracture probability (%)







The development of FRAX

A two stage process

- Determine impact of risk factors on fracture risk and mortality
 - Large multinational cohorts
 - Identification of common risk factors that could be standardised
 - Meta-analyses

 Superimpose the resulting risk algorithm on the epidemiology of fracture and mortality for each country





FRAX development: Primary cohorts

- Primary cohorts included baseline and follow-up data from nine prospective, population-based cohorts
 - Based on the Rotterdam study, EVOS/EPOS, CaMos, Rochester, Sheffield, DOES, AHS and two cohorts from Gothenburg
 - 46,340 men and women (68% women)
 - ~190,000 person/years
 - 4,168 osteoporotic fractures, of which 850 were at the hip

EVOS/EPOS = European Vertebral Osteoporosis Study/European Prospective Osteoporosis Study CaMos = Canadian Multicentre Osteoporosis Study; DOES = Dubbo Osteoporosis Epidemiology Study AHS = Adult Health Study Kanis JA, et al. Osteoporos Int 2007;18:1033–46





FRAX development: Validation data

- Data was obtained from a further 11 independent, population-based cohorts
 - Based on the EPIDOS, SOF, two cohorts from the Geelong osteoporosis study in Australia, OPUS, PERF, THIN, the SEMOF study, the Women's Health Initiative (US), plus cohorts from York, UK and Miyama in Japan
 - 230,486 women
 - ~1,200,000 person/years
 - 18,543 osteoporotic fractures, of which 3,360 were at the hip

EPIDOS = Epidemiologie de l'osteoporose study; SOF = Study of Osteoporotic Fractures PERF = Prospective Epidemiological Risk Factors; OPUS = Osteoporosis Prevention Using Soy SEMOF = Schweizerische Evaluierung der Messmethode des Osteoporotischen Frakturrisikos THIN = Health Improvement Network database

Kanis JA, et al. Osteoporos Int 2007;18:1033–46



Prior fracture and hip fracture risk



Age (years)





Femoral neck BMD and hip fracture prediction



BMI and fracture risk



BMI (kg/m²)

- - - Any fracture
 Osteoporotic fracture
 Hip fracture

Risk factors for hip fracture in men and women

www.shef.ac.uk/aubm

BMD is one of a number of internationallyvalidated risk factors for fracture

Epidemiology in Spain

- Risk of death from WHO population and mortality data from 1999 in Spain
- Risk of hip fracture is the mean value of:

 Barcelona 1984, Canaries 1990, Seville/Madrid 1989, Zamora 1991 and Cantabria 2006
- Risk of major osteoporotic fracture is computed from the hip fracture incidence from Spain multiplied with the proportion from Sweden

FRAX data vs. Latest Spanish Data

FRAX Alvarez-Nebreda

Hip fracture (/10,000)

🗖 Men 🗖 Women 🗖 Men 🗖 Women

www.shef.ac.uk/aubm

Characteristics of FRAX®

Defines associations between clinical risk factors and fracture risk in multiple data sets world wide

Uses NHANES III femoral neck BMD as reference data in men and women

Provides probability of hip fracture and major osteoporotic fracture (clinical vertebral, hip, forearm, humerus)

Takes account of Nation-specific fracture rates, death rates, and the impact of the risk factors on both

FRAX Version 2.0

но	CALCULATION TO	OL PAPER CHARTS	FAQ	REFERENCES		Select a Language
C	Please answer the qu	estions below to ca	alculate the ter	ı year probability	of fracture with BM	D.
	Country : UK	Name / ID :		About the risk fa	actors (i)	
ht Conversion: convert	Questionnaire: 1. Age (between 40-90 ye Age: Date of bir 65 Y: 2. Sex 3. Weight (kg) 4. Height (cm) 5. Previous fracture	1 ars) or Date of birth 1 th: 1 M: D:	0. Secondary ostec 1. Alcohol 3 or more 2. Fernoral neck Bł Select DXA Select DXA GE-Lunar Hologic Norland T-Score	oporosis No units per day No (g/cm²) ar Calcu	Yes ●Yes ulate	
	6. Parent tractured nip 7. Current smoking 8. Glucocorticoids					
	a. Giucocofficolus	Oldo Oles				

Limitations of FRAX[®]

Does not accommodate all known risk factors Falls, biochemical markers, QUS etc

Lacks detail on some risk factors Dose response effects of glucocorticoids, smoking, prior fracture etc

Depends on adequacy of epidemiological information Limited country models available Model relevant only for untreated patients Does not replace clinical judgment

Hip Fracture Efficacy in Elderly Women

2.5mg, 5mg daily for 3 years

McClung et al NEJM 2001

Predicting falls

Association between impaired ability to stand and future fracture risk

Interaction between falls or impaired ability to stand and the efficacy of clodronate on osteoporotic fracture

Case Finding Strategies

Royal College of Physicians 1999

Intervention Thresholds

Case Finding Strategies

National Osteoporosis Guideline Group 2008

The University

Of Sheffield.

National Osteoporosis Guideline Group (NOGG)

Clinical guideline for prevention and treatment

www.shef.ac.uk/NOGG

Management Charts for Osteoporosis

www.shef.ac.uk/FRAX

	Country : UK	Name / ID :	About the risk	factors (
	Questionnaire:		10. Secondary osteoporosis 💿 No	OYes
version:	1. Age (between 40-90 ye	ars) or Date of birth	11. Alcohol 3 more units per day 💿 No	Yes
	Age: Date of birt	:h:	12. Femoral neck BMD	
invert	55 Y:	M: D:	Select 💌	
	2. Sex 🔘	Male 💿 Female	Clear Ca	lculate
	3. Weight (kg)	65		
version:	4. Height (cm)	165	BMI 23.9 The ten year probability of fracture	(%)
	5. Previous fracture	⊙No ⊙Yes	without BMD	(
invert	6. Parent fractured hip	⊙No ⊖Yes	 Major osteoporotic 	9.6
	7. Current smoking	⊙No ()Yes	Hip fracture	1.5
	8. Glucocorticoids	⊙No ()Yes	View NOGG Guidance	
			Hen Hees calduites	

NOGG guideline: main recommendations

- Generic alendronate is the first line treatment option in the majority of cases
- In individuals unable to tolerate alendronate or in whom it is contraindicated, other bisphosphonates, strontium ranelate or raloxifene may provide appropriate options
- The use of parathyroid hormone peptides is generally restricted to those at very high risk, particularly for vertebral fractures

www.shef.ac.uk/FRAX

	Country : UK	Name / ID :	About the risk	factors (
	Questionnaire:		10. Secondary osteoporosis 💿 No	OYes
version:	1. Age (between 40-90 ye	ars) or Date of birth	11. Alcohol 3 more units per day 💿 No	Yes
	Age: Date of birt	:h:	12. Femoral neck BMD	
invert	55 Y:	M: D:	Select 💌	
	2. Sex 🔘	Male 💿 Female	Clear Ca	lculate
	3. Weight (kg)	65		
version:	4. Height (cm)	165	BMI 23.9 The ten year probability of fracture	(%)
	5. Previous fracture	⊙No ⊙Yes	without BMD	(
invert	6. Parent fractured hip	⊙No ⊖Yes	 Major osteoporotic 	9.6
	7. Current smoking	⊙No ()Yes	Hip fracture	1.5
	8. Glucocorticoids	⊙No ()Yes	View NOGG Guidance	
			Hen Hees calduites	

www.shef.ac.uk/NOGG

Assessment threshold - Major fracture

Femoral Neck BMD Scan

BMI 23.9 The ten year probability of fracture (%) with BMD				
Major osteoporotic	8.3			
Hip fracture	0.7			
View NOGG Guidance				

Reassess risk and view NOGG advice

T-score = -1.1

Categorization of risk factors for fracture according to evidence for reversible risk

Grade	Description	Risk factor
A	Validated by use as inclusion criteria in randomized controlled trials	Low BMD (DXA spine or hip) Prior vertebral fracture Long-term glucocorticosteroid treatment Age Postmenopausal status
В	Do not adversely affect fracture outcomes in randomized controlled trials	Low BMD (DXA spine or hip) Family history of fracture Prior non-vertebral fracture Prior vertebral fracture Biochemical markers of bone turnover QUS (at the heel) Smoking Body weight or BMI Age Alcohol intake
С	Untested	Other risk factors
D	Adversely affect intervention outcomes	Risk factors for falling

BMD, bone mineral density; DXA, dual energy X-ray absorptiometry; QUS, quantitative ultrasound; BMI, body mass index.

Fracture probability and BMD*

*In 80 years-old women in Sheffield

MRC Clodronate Study Background

• Aim

To determine if patients identified at high risk by the algorithm are responsive to anti-resorptive treatment

* Excludes fractures of the hands, feet, ankle and skull McCl

- Randomized, double-blind, placebo controlled trial over 3 years
- Women aged at least 75 years unselected for osteoporosis or low BMD
- Clodronate (Bonefos®) 800mg/day or Placebo
- Fractures ascertained at 6monthly visits and confirmed against source documents or radiographs

McCloskey et al, JBMR 2007

Baseline Variables WHO Fracture Probability Model

- Age
- Sex
- Femoral neck BMD
- Previous fragility fracture after age 50
- Body mass index
- Ever use of glucocorticoids
- Secondary osteoporosis (e.g., rheumatoid arthritis)
- Parental history of hip fracture (Paternal)
- Current cigarette smoking
- Alcohol intake 3 or more units/day

Baseline Characteristics

	Clodronate (N=2016)	Placebo (N=1958)
Age (years)	79.8±3.7	79.7±3.7
BMI (kg/m ²)	26.8±4.4	27.0±4.7
Femoral Neck BMD (g/cm ²)	0.65±0.12	0.65±0.12
Femoral neck BMD T-score	-1.74±0.98	-1.72±0.99
Previous fracture (%)	22	24
Family history (%)	5	6
Current smoking (%)	6	6
Corticosteroids (%)	9	10
RA (%)	2	2
		The University Of Sheffield.

www.shef.ac.uk/aubm

Incidence of osteoporotic fracture and estimated 10-year probability

The University

Of Sheffield

Interaction between treatment and fracture probability (without BMD)

10 year probability of osteoporotic fracture without BMD (%)

Interaction between treatment and fracture probability (with BMD)

10 year probability of osteoporotic fracture with BMD (%)

Bazedoxifene and anti-fracture efficacy

Bazedoxifene (BZA)

- a novel selective estrogen receptor modulator
- bone-sparing effects without endometrial or breast stimulation in postmenopausal women

• Anti-fracture efficacy – Vertebral fracture (primary outcome)

 3-year phase III trial, treatment with bazedoxifene 20mg reduced the risk by 42% relative to placebo in postmenopausal women with osteoporosis.

• Anti-fracture efficacy – Non-vertebral fracture

 in a subsequent post hoc analysis of a subgroup of patients at high risk, bazedoxifene treatment was associated with a significant decrease in non-vertebral fracture.

Overall Efficacy

 Bazedoxifene significantly decreased incident morphometric vertebral fractures by 39%

- (HR = 0.61; 95% CI = 0.43-0.86; p = 0.005).

 Bazedoxifene was associated with a 16% decrease in all clinical fractures

 (HR = 0.84; 95% CI = 0.67-1.06; p = 0.14)

Results – Morphometric Vertebral Fractures

Results – All Clinical Fractures

Efficacy of Bazodoxifene at higher probabilities

	Morphometric vertebral fractures			Clinical fractures			
Percentile	Probability (%)	HR	95% CI	Probability (%)	HR	95% CI	
10 th	2.8	0.73	0.45-1.18	2.8	1.02	0.74-1.40	
25 th	4.5	0.71	0.45-1.10	4.5	0.98	0.73-1.32	
50 th	8.2	0.65	0.45-0.95	8.3	0.91	0.71-1.17	
75 th	14.0	0.58	0.41-0.82	14.5	0.80	0.63-1.02	
90 th	21.7	0.49	0.31-0.79	22.4	0.68	0.49-0.93	

Summary

- The use of FRAX provides management algorithms for osteoporosis based on estimation of fracture probabilities, rather than BMD alone.
- Case-finding to target treatment is a very costeffective strategy for management of osteoporosis
- Management strategies including intervention thresholds will need to be developed within each health care setting.

